

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,958	11/03/2003 Alex MacMurdo		M113.2N-10592-US02	5068	
490	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
VIDAS, AR	VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			BARNEY, SETH E	
6109 BLUE (	CIRCLE DRIVE				
SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONKA MN 55343-9185			3752		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TWA
-----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,958	MACMURDO, ALEX				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Seth Barney	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 18-33 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a) □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	" <b></b>	(T-1)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/04.		latent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 08182005				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 12'. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,823,445 to Diener in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,315,152 to Kalisz.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,958

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding claims 18 and 29, Diener et al discloses a container (C) having an outside surface and an interior and a storage clip for holding an extending tube directly onto an aerosol can. Diener does not disclose the tube being magnetically attracted to the outside surface of the container. Kalisz discloses a tube storage device that is magnetically attached to the container. See column 6 lines 47 to 58. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the attachment means of Diener with the magnetic attachment means as taught by Kalisz in order to more conveniently store the tube when not in use.

Regarding claim 19, the container of Diener comprises a nozzle (A).

Regarding claim 20, the tube of Diener is constructed and arranged to be cupled to the nozzle.

Regarding claim 21, the container of Diener is an aerosol can under pressure.

Regarding claim 22, the container of Diener as modified by Kalisz would be magnetic to attract the tube.

Regarding claim 23, the tube as modified by Kalisz would be ferromagnetic in order to adhere to the container.

Regarding claims 24 and 31, it is well known in the art that aerosol tubes are flexible as shown in Kalisz. See column 1 line 52.

Regarding claims 25, 26, 30, 32, 33, it is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art to use iron-containing compounds in magnets.

Regarding claims 27 and 28, the tube of both Diener and Kalisz is shorter in length than the length of the cylinder. See Figure 1 of Diener and Figure 3 of Kalisz.

Art Unit: 3752

### Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 18-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,313 to MacMurdo. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 18-33 of the instant application are broader than the patented claims of 6,659,313.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 5,178,354 to Engvall discloses a aerosol cylinder having a tube attached.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,958 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

sb

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700